

Five hundred microsatellite loci for *Peromyscus*

Jesse N. Weber · Maureen B. Peters · Olga V. Tsyusko · Catherine R. Linnen ·
Cris Hagen · Nancy A. Schable · Tracey D. Tuberville · Anna M. McKee ·
Stacey L. Lance · Kenneth L. Jones · Heidi S. Fisher · Michael J. Dewey ·
Hopi E. Hoekstra · Travis C. Glenn

Received: 23 February 2009 / Accepted: 7 May 2009
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

Abstract Mice of the genus *Peromyscus*, including several endangered subspecies, occur throughout North America and have been important models for conservation research. We describe 526 primer pairs that amplify microsatellite DNA loci for *Peromyscus maniculatus bairdii*, 467 of which also amplify in *Peromyscus polionotus subgriseus*. For 12 of these loci, we report diversity data from a natural population. These markers will be an important resource for future genomic studies of *Peromyscus* evolution and mammalian conservation.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10592-009-9941-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

J. N. Weber · C. R. Linnen · H. S. Fisher · H. E. Hoekstra
Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology
and The Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University,
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

M. B. Peters · O. V. Tsyusko · C. Hagen ·
N. A. Schable · T. D. Tuberville · A. M. McKee · S. L. Lance ·
T. C. Glenn
Savannah River Ecology Laboratory, University of Georgia,
Drawer E, Aiken, SC 29802, USA

Present Address:
O. V. Tsyusko
Department of Plant and Soil Sciences,
University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40546, USA

S. L. Lance · K. L. Jones · T. C. Glenn (✉)
Department of Environmental Health Science, University
of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA
e-mail: travisg@uga.edu; Travis.Glenn@gmail.com

M. J. Dewey
Peromyscus Genetic Stock Center, Department of Biological
Sciences, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208,
USA

Keywords Microsatellite · *Peromyscus maniculatus* ·
Peromyscus polionotus · SSR · STR · PCR primers

As genomic markers, tools and techniques are becoming increasingly accessible, a handful of new “model” systems have emerged, allowing us to begin to better understand the evolution of organismal diversity (Abzhanov et al. 2008). One of these emerging models is *Peromyscus* (Cricetidae: Neotominae), arguably the most diverse and well-studied group of non-commensal rodents. Mice of the genus *Peromyscus* are ubiquitous throughout North America with more than 50 species distributed across a variety of habitats (Hall 1981). The most widespread species, the deer mouse *Peromyscus maniculatus*, has been the subject of conservation, ecological and evolutionary studies starting in the early 1900’s (e.g., Osgood 1909; Dice 1940; Sullivan 1977; Jimenez et al. 1994; Storz et al. 2007) and is considered a model organism for such research (Dewey and Dawson 2001). *P. maniculatus* is comprised of more than 65 subspecies that display a wide range of morphological and behavioral variation (King 1968; Hall 1981). In addition, as a carrier of both Lyme disease (Speilman et al. 1985) and hantavirus (Childs et al. 1994), *P. maniculatus* recently emerged as a health concern and warrants continued research and monitoring for environmental and human health effects.

The *maniculatus* species group includes several geographically peripheral taxa, including its sister species, the Oldfield mouse *Peromyscus polionotus* (Blair 1950; Avise et al. 1983; Bradley et al. 2007). Blair (1950) proposed that *P. polionotus* split from *P. maniculatus* in the Pleistocene, and populations of *P. polionotus* were isolated to the southeastern states and along the Gulf and Atlantic coasts. *P. polionotus* has sixteen subspecies (Hall 1981), eight of

which are ‘beach mice’ that occur along the sandy dunes and barrier islands of the Atlantic and Gulf coast (Bowen 1968; Bowen and Dawson 1977). Six of these eight subspecies are classified as endangered or threatened and one, *P. polionotus decoloratus*, is now considered extinct (USFWS 1999). Subspecies of *P. polionotus* exhibit broad variation in pelage coloration (Bowen 1968; Mullen and Hoekstra 2008) and frequently match their local substrate (Belk and Smith 1996; Mullen et al. 2009). This matching of pelage to substrate is a classical example of local adaptation, the molecular mechanisms of which are just now being understood (Hoekstra et al. 2006; Steiner et al. 2007).

Both *P. maniculatus* and *P. polionotus* are intriguing species in which to study population processes as well as genome evolution within and between species. Specifically, microsatellite DNA loci are often used to elucidate genetic patterns in populations, such as migration rates, population structure, and evolutionary history (Hedrick 2001) as well as to describe genomic or chromosomal variation (e.g., Womack and Kata 1995; Payseur and Nachman 2000). More importantly, however, microsatellites, unlike other genetic markers, are highly variable and can easily be assayed across a wide number of closely related species. Therefore, having a large number of microsatellite markers can be extremely useful in both population genomic and genetic mapping studies (Stinchcombe and Hoekstra 2008). These approaches can be used to identify genes relevant to disease as well as genomic regions contributing to phenotypic variation, the latter a topic of great interest to evolutionary, ecology and conservation biologists.

Here we describe over 500 microsatellite markers that amplify in *Peromyscus*. This large set of microsatellites contributes to a growing number of genomic resources (Mullen et al. 2006; Glenn et al. 2008) for studies of *Peromyscus* evolution.

A total of eight genomic libraries for *P. maniculatus bairdii* ($N = 6$) and *P. p. subgriseus* ($N = 2$) were constructed and enriched for microsatellites following Glenn and Schable (2005). All mice were obtained from *Peromyscus* Genetic Stock Center (University of South Carolina). DNA was extracted using Qiagen DNeasy kits, digested with restriction enzyme *RsaI* (New England Biolabs), and simultaneously ligated to double-stranded SuperSNX linkers (SuperSNX24 Forward 5'-GTTTAAGGCCTAGCTAGCAGCAGAATC and SuperSNX24 Reverse 5'-GATTCTGC TAGCTAGGCCTTAAACAAAA). Linker-ligated DNA was denatured and hybridized to biotinylated microsatellite oligonucleotides, which were then captured on magnetic streptavidin beads (Dyna). Unhybridized DNA was washed away and remaining DNA was eluted from the beads, amplified in polymerase chain reactions (PCR) using the forward SuperSNX24 as a primer, the enrichment process was repeated, and the DNA was cloned with TOPO-TA

Cloning Kits (Invitrogen). Inserts were isolated from clones using bacterial colony PCR with M13 primers and sequenced using the same primers on an ABI-3130xl sequencer. Sequences from both strands were assembled and edited in Sequencer 4.1 (Genecodes). Microsatellites were identified either by searching sequences by eye or exporting sequences to Epheris 1.0 (available at http://www.uga.edu/srel/DNA_Lab/programs.htm).

Sequences of more than 3,000 clones from these eight genomic libraries were used to design 1,077 primer pairs. An additional 78 primer pairs were developed from expressed sequence tags (EST libraries) from *P. maniculatus* (Glenn et al. 2008). PCR primers were designed using Oligo 6.67 (Molecular Biology Insights) or Primer Premier 5 (PREMIER Biosoft International). One primer in each pair was modified on the 5' end to include an engineered sequence (CAG tag: 5'-CAGTCGGGCGTCATCA-3'), allowing the use of a third oligo in the PCR (complementary to the CAG tag) that is fluorescently labeled for detection.

All primers were then tested in 7–14 individuals (Table S1). PCR amplifications were performed in a 12.5 μ l volume [10 mM Tris pH 8.4, 50 mM KCl, 25.0 μ g/ml BSA, 0.4 μ M unlabeled primer, 0.08 μ M tag labeled primer, 0.36 μ M universal dye-labeled primer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.15 mM dNTPs, 0.5 units JumpStart Taq DNA Polymerase (Sigma), and 20–40 ng DNA template]. Each primer pair was tested in at least one PCR protocol; we used touchdown thermal cycling programs (Don et al. 1991), encompassing a 10°C span of annealing temperatures ranging between 65 and 55°C, 60 and 50°C, 58 and 48°C or 55 and 45°C (Table S1). Cycles were 95°C for 3 min; 5 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, highest annealing temperature for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; 21 cycles of 96°C for 30 s, highest annealing temperature (decreased 0.5°C per cycle) for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s; and 15 cycles of 96°C for 30 s, lowest annealing temperature for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. PCR products were then run on an ABI-3130xl sequencer and analyzed using GeneMapper version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems). Of these 1,077 primer pairs, we found that 526 amplified a product of the correct estimated size in *P. maniculatus* and 467 in *P. polionotus* using one of the three PCR protocols (Table S2). We also tested a subset of these primers ($N = 192$) in beach mice, and found 110 successfully amplified.

After determining which microsatellite loci could be amplified, we next genotyped several individuals of *P. maniculatus* ($N = 4$ –14) and *P. polionotus* ($N = 1$ –7) to characterize intra- and inter-specific size variation at these loci (Table S2). Of the 526 loci, 393 were polymorphic in *P. maniculatus* and 203 of 467 were polymorphic in *P. polionotus* (Table S2). In our sample, 230 markers had non-overlapping size distributions between *P. maniculatus* and *P. polionotus*, and 37 were diagnostic between the two *P. polionotus* subspecies, *P. p. subgriseus* and *P. p. leucocephalus*.

To test the utility of these markers for molecular studies of natural populations, we assayed 12 markers in a single population ($N = 20$) of *P. maniculatus luteus* from Nebraska (Cherry Co., Schlagel Creek State Wildlife Management Area, N42°42.7'/W100°37.1'). For each locus, we report the number of alleles, the observed and expected heterozygosity, and any departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (Table 1). Standard diversity indices were calculated, and χ^2 tests were performed using GeneAEx version 6 (Peakall and Smouse 2006). Pairwise linkage disequilibrium between all 12 markers was calculated using GENEPOP version 3.4 (Raymond and Rousset 1995); no markers showed significant linkage ($P > 0.05$). These results suggest that the markers reported here will be useful for studies of wild *Peromyscus*, but that reasonably large numbers of primer pairs should be screened for any given population so that loci exhibiting evidence of null alleles (e.g., loci 172, 280, 437, and 451; Table 1) can be avoided.

This study reports a large number of microsatellite loci for *Peromyscus*. Based on previous studies, it is likely that many of these markers will also amplify in additional *Peromyscus* species (Prince et al. 2002) as well as other cricetid rodents. Moreover, even those markers that are not polymorphic in lab strains of *Peromyscus* are likely to be polymorphic in natural populations (Mullen et al. 2006). Thus, this collection of markers will be useful for studies of ecological genetics in a large number of rodent taxa. Perhaps more importantly, these loci also can be used to further develop the *Peromyscus* linkage map (Steiner et al. 2007; Ramsdell et al. 2008). Specifically, because species of *Peromyscus* breed well in the lab (Sumner 1915; Dewey and Dawson 2001), are interfertile (Watson 1942) and vary

in a large number of traits that are ecologically, behaviorally and biomedically relevant (King 1968), these markers can be used in quantitative trait locus mapping experiments to identify the genetic architecture, and eventually the genes, responsible for a wide diversity of fitness-related traits.

Acknowledgments This work results from a collaboration inspired by the *Peromyscus* Genetic Stock Center and funded by NSF (DBI-0120348 to MJD, DEB-0614107 to HEH), NIH (P40-RR14279 to MJD, RO1-GM069601 to MJD and TCG), and partial support from Department of Energy award DE-FC09-07SR22506 which supported the University of Georgia's Savannah River Ecology Laboratory.

References

- Abzhanov A, Extavour CG, Groover A, Hodges SA, Hoekstra HE, Kramer EM, Monteiro A (2008) Are we there yet? Tracking the development of new model systems. *Trends Genet* 24:353–360. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2008.04.002
- Avise JC, Shapira JF, Daniel SW, Aquadro CF, Lansman RA (1983) Mitochondrial DNA differentiation during the speciation process in *Peromyscus*. *Mol Biol Evol* 1:38–56
- Belk MC, Smith ME (1996) Pelage coloration in Oldfield mice (*Peromyscus polionotus*): antipredator adaptation? *J Mamm* 77:882–890. doi:10.2307/1382694
- Blair FW (1950) Ecological factors in the speciation of *Peromyscus*. *Evol Int J Org Evol* 4:253–275. doi:10.2307/2405335
- Bowen WW (1968) Variation and evolution of Gulf coast population of beach mice, *Peromyscus polionotus*. *Bull Fla State Mus* 12: 1–91
- Bowen WW, Dawson WD (1977) Genetic analysis of coat pattern variation in Oldfield mice (*Peromyscus polionotus*) of western Florida. *J Mamm* 58:521–530. doi:10.2307/1380000
- Bradley RD, Durish ND, Rogers DS, Miller JR, Engstrom MD et al (2007) Toward a molecular phylogeny for *Peromyscus*: evidence from mitochondrial cytochrome-*b* sequences. *J Mamm* 88:1146–1159. doi:10.1644/06-MAMM-A-342R.1
- Childs JE, Ksiazek TG, Spiropoulou CF, Krebs JW, Morzunov S et al (1994) Serologic and genetic identification of *Peromyscus maniculatus* as the primary rodent reservoir for a new Hantavirus in the southwestern United States. *J Infect Dis* 169:1271–1280
- Dewey MJ, Dawson WD (2001) Deer mice: the *Drosophila* of North American mammalogy. *Genesis* 29:105–109. doi:10.1002/gene.1011
- Dice LR (1940) Ecologic and genetic variability within species of *Peromyscus*. *Am Nat* 74:212–221. doi:10.1086/280889
- Don RH, Cox PT, Wainwright BJ, Baker K, Mattick JS (1991) 'Touchdown' PCR to circumvent spurious priming during gene amplification. *Nucleic Acids Res* 19:4008. doi:10.1093/nar/19.14.4008
- Glenn TC, Schable NA (2005) Isolating microsatellite DNA loci. *Methods Enzymol* 395:202–222. doi:10.1016/S0076-6879(05)95013-1
- Glenn JLW, Chen C-F, Lewandowski A, Cheng C-H, Ramsdell CM, Bullard-Dillard R, Chen J, Dewey MJ, Glenn TC (2008) Expressed Sequence Tags from *Peromyscus* testis and placenta tissue: analysis, annotation, and utility for mapping. *BMC Genom* 9:300. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-300
- Hall ER (1981) *Mammals of North America*, vol 2, 2nd edn. John Wiley, New York, pp 601–1181

Table 1 Number of alleles, observed (H_O) and expected (H_E) heterozygosity and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test results for 12 loci surveyed in a natural population of *P. maniculatus* ($N = 20$)

| Pmbw locus | No. of alleles | H_O | H_E | HWE ($\chi^2 P$ value) |
|------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|
| 172 | 7 | 0.368 | 0.817 | <0.001 |
| 280 | 9 | 0.350 | 0.794 | <0.001 |
| 282 | 12 | 0.800 | 0.874 | NS |
| 346 | 6 | 0.737 | 0.679 | NS |
| 385 | 11 | 0.900 | 0.831 | NS |
| 390 | 14 | 0.800 | 0.898 | NS |
| 397 | 12 | 0.650 | 0.700 | NS |
| 410 | 8 | 0.700 | 0.723 | NS |
| 437 | 16 | 0.529 | 0.913 | <0.01 |
| 441 | 18 | 0.895 | 0.916 | NS |
| 447 | 11 | 0.789 | 0.845 | NS |
| 451 | 14 | 0.667 | 0.893 | <0.001 |

- Hedrick PW (2001) Conservation genetics: where are we now? *Trends Ecol Evol* 16:629–636. doi:[10.1016/S0169-5347\(01\)02282-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02282-0)
- Hoekstra HE, Hirschmann RJ, Bunday RA, Insel PA, Crossland JP (2006) A single amino acid mutation contributes to adaptive beach mouse color pattern. *Science* 313:101–104. doi:[10.1126/science.1126121](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126121)
- Jimenez JA, Hughes KA, Alaks G, Graham L, Lacy RC (1994) An experimental-study of inbreeding depression in a natural habitat. *Science* 266:271–273. doi:[10.1126/science.7939661](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.7939661)
- King JA (ed) (1968) *Biology of Peromyscus* (Rodentia). American Society of Mammalogists, Lawrence, Kansas, 539 pp
- Mullen LM, Hoekstra HE (2008) Natural selection along an environmental gradient: a classic cline in mouse pigmentation. *Evol Int J Org Evol* 62:1555–1570. doi:[10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00425.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00425.x)
- Mullen LM, Hirschmann RJ, Prince KL, Glenn TC, Dewey MJ, Hoekstra HE (2006) Sixty polymorphic microsatellite markers for the Oldfield mouse developed in *Peromyscus polionotus* and *P. maniculatus*. *Mol Ecol Notes* 6:36–40. doi:[10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01128.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01128.x)
- Mullen LM, Vignieri SN, Gore JA, Hoekstra HE (2009) Adaptive basis of geographic variation: genetic and phenotypic differentiation among island populations of beach mice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* (in review)
- Osgood WH (1909) Revision of the mice of the American genus *Peromyscus*. *N Am Fauna* 28:1–285
- Payseur BA, Nachman MW (2000) Microsatellite variation and recombination rate in the human genome. *Genetics* 156:1285–1298
- Peakall R, Smouse PE (2006) GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol Ecol Notes* 6:288–295. doi:[10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x)
- Prince KL, Glenn TC, Dewey MJ (2002) Cross-species amplification among peromyscines of new microsatellite DNA loci from the Oldfield mouse (*Peromyscus polionotus subgriseus*). *Mol Ecol Notes* 2:133–136. doi:[10.1046/j.1471-8286.2002.00175.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1471-8286.2002.00175.x)
- Ramsdell CM, Lewandowski AA, Glenn JLW, Vrana PB, O'Neill RJ, Dewey MJ (2008) Comparative genome mapping of the deer mouse (*Peromyscus maniculatus*) reveals greater similarity to rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) than to the lab mouse (*Mus musculus*). *BMC Evol Biol* 8:65. doi:[10.1186/1471-2148-8-65](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-65)
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *J Hered* 86:248–249
- Speilman A, Wilson ML, Levine JL, Piesman J (1985) Ecology of *Ixodes dammini*-borne humane babesiosis and Lyme disease. *Annu Rev Entomol* 30:439–460
- Steiner CC, Weber JN, Hoekstra HE (2007) Adaptive variation in beach mice produced by two interacting pigmentation genes. *PLoS Biol* 5:e219. doi:[10.1371/journal.pbio.0050219](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050219)
- Stinchcombe JR, Hoekstra HE (2008) Combining population genomics and quantitative genetics: finding the genes underlying ecologically important traits. *Heredity* 100:158–170. doi:[10.1038/sj.hdy.6800937](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.hdy.6800937)
- Storz JF, Sabatino SJ, Hoffmann FG, Gering EJ, Moriyama H, Ferrand N, Monteiro B, Nachman MW (2007) The molecular basis of high-altitude adaptation in deer mice. *PLoS Genet* 3:e45. doi:[10.1371/journal.pgen.0030045](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0030045)
- Sullivan TP (1977) Demography and dispersal in island and mainland populations of the deer mouse. *Ecology* 58:964–978. doi:[10.2307/1936918](https://doi.org/10.2307/1936918)
- Sumner FB (1915) Genetic studies of several geographic races of California deer mice. *Am Nat* 49:689–701. doi:[10.1086/279511](https://doi.org/10.1086/279511)
- US Fish and Wildlife Service (1999) South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Available at <http://www.fws.gov/verobeach/index.cfm?Method=programs&NavProgramCategoryID=3&programID=107&ProgramCategoryID=3>. Accessed 18 May 2009
- Watson ML (1942) Hybridization experiments between *Peromyscus polionotus* and *P. maniculatus*. *J Mamm* 23:315–316. doi:[10.2307/1375001](https://doi.org/10.2307/1375001)
- Womack JE, Kata SR (1995) Bovine genome mapping: evolutionary inference and the power of comparative genomics. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 6:725–733. doi:[10.1016/0959-437X\(95\)80004-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-437X(95)80004-O)